

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/679,325	10/07/2003	Kazuhide Uchida	12-022-DIV	2671
23400 7:	590 06/18/2004		EXAMINER	
	HARDS, PLC		TRIEU, THERESA	
11250 ROGER SUITE 10	BACON DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA	20190		3748	

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\Lambda \Lambda$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-1111			
	10/679,325	UCHIDA ET AL.	V • (
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Theresa Trieu	3748				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this cor ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2-14 and 20-28 is/are pending in the a						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-14 and 20-28</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).				
2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No. 10/174.820) .			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	//PTO-//12\				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 10/174,820, filed on June 20, 2002.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of Figs. 1, 2 and 33; the species of Fig. 3; the species of Fig. 4; the species of Fig. 5; the species of Fig. 6; the species of Fig. 7; the species of Fig. 8; the species of Fig. 9; the species of Fig. 10; the species of Fig. 11; the species of Fig. 12; the species of Fig. 13; the species of Fig. 14; the species of Fig. 15, 16 and 34; the species of Fig. 17; the species of Fig. 18; the species of Fig. 19; the species of Fig. 20 and 35; the species of Fig. 21; the species of Fig. 22; the species of Fig. 23; the species of Fig. 24; the species of Fig. 25; the species of Fig. 26; the species of Fig. 27; the species of Fig. 28; the species of Fig. 29; the species of Fig. 30; the species of Fig. 31; and the species of Fig. 32.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 28 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3748

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theresa Trieu whose telephone number is 703-308-6434. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30am- 6:00pm - Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,325

Art Unit: 3748

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas E Denion can be reached on 703-308-2623. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TT

Theresa Trieu

Patent Examiner

heren

Page 4

Art Unit: 3748